

Stimulated emission from ZnO thin films with high optical gain and low loss

A.-S. Gadallah,^{1,2} K. Nomenyo,¹ C. Couteau,^{1,3} D. J. Rogers,⁴ and G. Lérondel¹ ¹Laboratoire de Nanotechnologie et d'Instrumentation Optique, Institut Charles Delaunay, CNRS UMR 6279,

Université de Technologie de Troyes, 12 rue Marie Curie, BP 2060, 10010 Troyes Cedex, France

²Department of Laser Sciences and Interactions, National Institute of Laser Enhanced Sciences. Cairo University, Giza, Egypt

CINTRA, CNRS-NTU-Thales UMI 3288, Research Techno Plaza, 50 Nanyang Drive, Border X Block, Level 6, Singapore 637553, Singapore

Nanovation, 8, route de Chevreuse, 78117 Châteaufort, France

(Received 26 March 2013; accepted 13 April 2013; published online 30 April 2013)

Stimulated surface- and edge-emissions were investigated for ZnO thin films grown epitaxially by pulsed laser deposition. The lasing threshold was 0.32 MW/cm^2 for surface pumping and $0.5 \,\mathrm{MW/cm^2}$ for edge pumping, which is significantly lower than thresholds observed previously. A modified variable stripe length method was used to measure the gain, which was 1369 cm⁻¹ for the N-band emission. Losses were measured using the shifting excitation spot method and values of 6.2 cm^{-1} and 6.3 cm^{-1} were found for the N-band and P-band, respectively. The measured gain and loss were the highest and lowest (respectively) ever reported for ZnO films. © 2013 AIP Publishing LLC. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4803081]

There has been a huge resurge of interest in ZnO over the last 10 years.¹ This is due, in part, to appealing attributes such as a direct wide bandgap, relatively high exciton binding energy ($\sim 60 \,\mathrm{meV}$), comparatively low cost, and good biocompatibility. These properties predispose ZnO for a variety of applications, including optoelectronics (e.g. light emitting diodes, laser diodes, solar cells, and photodetectors), biomedical, and sensing. Optical gain and optical loss are two key characteristics for opto-semiconductor thin films. Higher optical gain, for instance, allows amplification with shorter active-medium lengths, while reduced losses allow lower lasing thresholds. In the literature, stimulated emission has been observed from the surface,^{2,3} from the edge,^{4,5} and even via random lasing^{6,7} for ZnO thin films. Zhang et al.⁴ reported the highest optical gain value for ZnO films, so far, of 571 cm⁻¹. This was for layers grown by laser molecular beam epitaxy.⁴ Other work reported gains of 340 cm^{-1} (Ref. 8), 300 cm^{-1} (Ref. 5), 160 cm^{-1} (Ref. 2), and 40 cm^{-1} (Ref. 3). Zhang et al. also reported the lowest optical losses for ZnO thin films of 68 cm^{-1} (Ref. 4). This paper investigates stimulated emission from both the surface and the edge of ZnO thin films grown epitaxially on c-sapphire substrates. Special emphasis was given to the study of optical gain and losses, which were found to be over $1000 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ and below $10 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, respectively.

In this study, stimulated surface emission was pumped using an N₂ laser, emitting at 337 nm (with 5 ns pulse duration and 10 Hz repetition rate). The laser beam after focusing was $1 \text{ mm} \times 1 \text{ mm}$. Stimulated edge emission was pumped with a frequency-tripled, Q-switched, Nd:YAG laser emitting at 355 nm (with 5 ns pulse duration and 10 Hz repetition rate). A laser stripe, 1 cm long and 200 μ m wide, was focused on the sample surface using a cylindrical lens. The emission was collected from an end facet of the sample. In both cases, spectra were acquired using a standard photoluminescence set-up coupled to a spectrometer (50 cm focal length) with a Peltier-cooled charge coupled device camera. For optical gain investigations, the variable stripe length (VSL) method was employed.⁹ This involved controlling the length of a stripe excited by the pump laser with a cylindrical lens and recording the spectrum emitted from the edge of the film. For optical loss measurements, the shifting excitation spot (SES) method¹⁰ was employed. This involved displacing the laser spot from the edge towards the middle of the sample and recording the position dependence of the photoluminescence spectrum. All measurements were carried out at room temperature.

ZnO thin films of various thicknesses, grown on a range of substrates, by different techniques (e.g. metal organic vapor deposition, pulsed laser deposition (PLD), chemical deposition) were measured. One layer, in particular, gave considerably more intense stimulated emission and the lowest lasing threshold. This film was grown by PLD on a c-sapphire substrate, using conditions described elsewhere.¹¹ The thickness was estimated to be about 500 nm by ellipsometry (in prior work,² the authors reported that thinner films grown under similar conditions were found to exhibit random lasing^{6,7}). High resolution x-ray diffraction (XRD) revealed the film to be epitaxial¹² with an omega rocking curve linewidth of 0.14° and a c lattice parameter of 5.209 Å, which is relatively close to the expected equilibrium value for wurtzite ZnO, of about 5.206 Å. Thus there was no indication of significant residual epitaxial strain at the film surface. Contact mode atomic force microscopy (AFM) revealed a root mean square roughness of about 2.5 nm with a peak-to-valley of 10 nm for a scan area of $2 \,\mu m \times 2 \,\mu m$. Four point measurements gave an average resistivity of about 0.3 Ω cm, which is typical for as-grown ZnO films, that are slightly oxygen depleted. More detailed materials characterization data and analysis will be published elsewhere.

Surface photoluminescence spectra showed an intense ultraviolet (UV) near band edge (NBE) emission peak and very low signal in the green, which indicates that the defect density was relatively low. Figure 1(a) presents surface emission spectra around the NBE peak as a function of N₂ laser excitation intensity. Below the lasing threshold, a single peak (centred at around 378 nm) characteristic of spontaneous emission was observed. This peak was attributed to radiative recombination of free excitons.¹³ As the power density was increased to 0.32 MW/cm², an additional stimulated emission peak appeared at around 392 nm. This peak was attributed to a P-band exciton-exciton interaction. In such a process, one exciton is scattered to a higher energy state while the other emits a photon with an energy given by¹⁴

$$E_n = E_{ex} - E_b^{ex} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n^2} \right) - \frac{3}{2} k_B T, \tag{1}$$

where E_{ex} is the free exciton energy (eV), E_b^{ex} is the exciton binding energy (eV), and n (=2, 3,..., ∞) is the quantum number of the excited exciton state. As the pump intensity was increased up to 0.51 MW/cm², another stimulated emission peak appeared at around 395 nm. This peak was attributed to an N-band electron-hole plasma emission (EHP).^{15,16} In this case, the exciton concentration, n_{ex} (number of excitons, cm⁻³), is given by¹⁷

$$n_{ex} = \frac{I_p \tau}{h \nu_p d},\tag{2}$$

where I_p , τ , $h\nu_p$, and d are the pump intensity (MW/cm²), exciton lifetime (taken to be 0.3 ns (Ref. 18)), pump photon energy (J), and film thickness (cm), respectively. With these parameters, an I_p of 0.51 MW/cm² gives an n_{ex} of around 5.35×10^{18} cm⁻³. This concentration is higher than the Mott concentration for ZnO (about 10¹⁷ cm⁻³ (Ref. 17)), which implies that charge screening causes excitons to lose their individual nature and form an EHP. By further increasing the pump power density, the N-band intensity exceeded that of the P-band, which is indicative of a tendency towards EHP emission. This interpretation was corroborated by a red-shift in the N-band, which was attributed to band-gap renormalization.¹⁹ Figure 1(b) presents the integrated emission intensity as a function of pump power. Below the lasing threshold, spontaneous emission occurred by free exciton recombination, which presented a linear dependence on pump power density. Above this threshold, the integrated emission intensity dependence on pump power density showed a much steeper slope and peak narrowing from about 22 nm full width at half maximum (FWHM) below threshold to about 5.5 nm FWHM above threshold. The integrated emission showed a power dependence (m = 2.5) on the pump power density. Such non-linear dependence combined with peak narrowing is a typical signature of the onset of stimulated emission.

A similar trend was found for edge emission. Figure 2 presents the emission spectra collected from one end facet for different pump intensities. For a pump intensity of 0.25 MW/cm², the P-band emerged at 391 nm. Then, at intensities above the lasing threshold (0.5 MW/cm²), the N-band stimulated emission appeared at 394 nm. Interestingly, the threshold intensity increased compared to the surface emission configuration (0.32 MW/cm²). This was attributed to the different geometries of the pumped area.^{2,4} Such a change in geometry modifies the confinement factor, Γ , and hence the threshold intensity (which is proportional to exp (1/ Γ) (Ref. 20)). As for



FIG. 1. (a) Surface emission spectra for different pumping intensities, (b) integrated emission intensity versus pump intensity for the ZnO thin film. Scattered squares are the measured values and the intersection point between the two best-fit lines is the lasing threshold.

the surface emission, a red-shift was also seen for the edge stimulated emission. The output stimulated emission showed an m = 2.26 power dependence on excitation intensity. Peak narrowing from about 18 nm FWHM below threshold to about 9 nm FWHM above threshold was also observed. For films that do not show stimulated emissions process, neither the peak narrowing in the spectrum nor the two distinct slopes in the integrated output intensity versus pump intensity were observed. A lasing-mode photograph of the sample for above-threshold pumping is shown in the inset of Fig. 2(b).

For the gain VSL method, the amplified spontaneous emission (ASE) intensity $I_{ASE}(\lambda,L)$ (W cm⁻²) is related to the gain $g(\lambda)$ through⁹

$$I_{ASE} = \frac{A}{g(\lambda)} [\exp(g(\lambda)L) - 1], \qquad (3)$$

where A (W cm⁻¹) and L (cm) are the scaling factor and the excited stripe length, respectively. In this model, g is assumed to be independent of L in the unsaturated region. Hence, for evaluating g, L is changed and the corresponding $I_{ASE} (\lambda, L)$ is recorded. By fitting the curve of $I_{ASE} (\lambda, L)$ versus L, Fig. 3(a), with the above equation in the unsaturated region, the gain is obtained.^{5,21} Figure 3(a) shows the recorded $I_{ASE}(\lambda, L)$



FIG. 2. (a) Edge emission spectra for different pumping intensities, (b) integrated emission intensity versus pump intensity for the ZnO thin film. Scattered squares are the measured values and the intersection between the two best-fit lines is the lasing threshold. Inset is a lasing-mode photo for the sample (1 cm \times 0.5 cm).

versus L at 391 nm and 394 nm (corresponding to the P-band and N-band, respectively). The gain was measured to be 861 cm^{-1} at 391 nm and 956 cm^{-1} at 394 nm. These are the highest gains ever reported for ZnO thin films. Two possible explanations are put forward for this high gain in our case. The first is a probable reduction in dislocation density compared with the thinner films measured previously² because of a tendency for dislocation density to decrease during growth of such oxygen-depleted layers.²² Lower defect density reduces exciton quenching and thereby increases the gain. Transmission electron microscopy will be pursued in order to investigate this hypothesis. The second suggested explanation is a result of weak exciton confinement due to a relatively large grain size. This is based on work suggesting that grain boundaries confine the excitons, which leads to increased n_{ex} (Ref. 23) which augments the gain.

According to the modified VSL method, the gain depends not only on the wavelength but also on the excitation length, L, and is given by⁸

$$g(\lambda, L) = \frac{(dI_{ASE}(\lambda, L)/dL) - A}{I_{ASE}(\lambda, L)},$$
(4)

where $dI_{ASE}(\lambda,L)/dL$ is the first derivative of $I_{ASE}(\lambda,L)$ with respect to L. The constant A is obtained from $dI_{ASE}(\lambda,L)/dL$ at



FIG. 3. Emission intensity (a) and gain (b) versus excitation length at 391 nm and 394 nm.

L = 0. Figure 3(b) shows the gain as a function of L at 391 nm (P-band) and 394 nm (N-band) using the modified VSL, presented above. The gain is maximum at an L of 60 μ m for both wavelengths: 758 cm⁻¹ at 391 nm and 1369 cm⁻¹ at 394 nm. In Figure 3(b), oscillations in the measured gain are observed. Such oscillations are due to intensity fluctuations in the $I_{ASE}(\lambda,L)$ curve. Gain oscillations have been reported elsewhere and according to Kim *et al.*, they might be due to photon-carrier interaction that leads to a coupled mode along the stripe.⁸ A common finding for the two models (standard and modified VSL) is that the gain for the N-band is higher than that for the P-band.

For measuring the SES optical losses, the pump intensity was constant during measurement and equal to 1.3 MW/cm^2 (higher than the lasing threshold). The emission intensity as a function of the distance from the sample edge for wavelengths of 391 nm and 394 nm is shown in Fig. 4. By fitting these curves to the Beer-Lambert law, the losses at 391 nm and 394 nm were found to be 6.3 cm^{-1} and 6.2 cm^{-1} , respectively. The losses here are believed to be mainly due to interface scattering, as confirmed by AFM images (not shown). These are the lowest losses measured so far for ZnO films (Zhang *et al.*⁴ previously reported optical losses of 68 cm⁻¹ for ZnO thin films). However, they are still higher than that of GaN films grown by molecular beam epitaxy,²⁴ which can be as low as 1.23 cm^{-1} .



FIG. 4. Emission intensity versus distance from the sample edge at 391 nm and 394 nm.

To conclude, detailed analysis of surface- and edgeemitting stimulated emission using optical pumping has been presented. Typical lasing thresholds of 0.32 MW/cm^2 for surface pumping and 0.5 MW/cm^2 for edge-emitting geometry were measured. These values were relatively low compared to those reported in previous work² but not remarkable. The measured gain and loss, however, were the highest and lowest (respectively) ever reported for ZnO films. Comparatively low surface defect density, due to dislocation die-out during film growth, and weak exciton confinement, due to a relatively large grain size, were put forward as possible origins. These results confirm the exceptional optical quality of these ZnO thin films grown on sapphire by PLD and their potential for use in next-generation UV photonic devices.

This work was supported by the CPER MATISSE project. A.G. would like to thank Campus France and K.N. acknowledges the financial support of the "Champagne-Ardennes" region and the European Social Fund. D.J.R. would like to thank the "Centrale de Technologie Universitaire" IEF-Minerve at the University of Orsay for the access to the X-ray diffraction equipment.

- ¹Ü. Özgür, D. Hofstetter, and H. Morkoc, Proc. IEEE 98, 1255 (2010).
- ²P.-H. Dupont, C. Couteau, D. J. Rogers, F. Hosseini-Téhérani, and G. Lérondel, Appl. Phys. Lett. **97**, 261109 (2010).
- ³H.-C. Chen, M.-J. Chen, M. K. Wu, Y.-C. Cheng, and F.-Y. Tsai, IEEE J. Sel. Top. Quantum Electron. **14**, 1053 (2008).
- ⁴X. Q. Zhang, Z. K. Tang, M. Kawasaki, A. Ohtomo, and H. Koinuma, J. Cryst. Growth 259, 286 (2003).
- ⁵Z. K. Tang, M. Kawasaki, A. Ohtomo, H. Koinuma, and Y. Segawa, J. Cryst. Growth 287, 169 (2006).
- ⁶L. Miao, S. Tanemura, H. Y. Yang, and K. Yoshida, J. Nanosci. Nanotechnol. 11, 9326 (2011).
- ⁷S. F. Yu, C. Yuen, S. P. Lau, W. I. Park, and G.-C. Yi, Appl. Phys. Lett. **84**, 3241 (2004).
- ⁸B. Kim and K. Kyhm, J. Korean Phys. Soc. **51**, 1726 (2007).
- ⁹K. L. Shaklee, R. E. Nahory, and R. F. Leheny, J. Lumin. 7, 284 (1973).
- ¹⁰J. Valenta, I. Pelant, and J. Limmros, Appl. Phys. Lett. **81**, 1396 (2002).
- ¹¹D. J. Rogers, F. Hosseini-Teherani, A. Yasan, R. McClintock, K. Mayes, S. R. Darvish, P. Kung, M. Razeghi, and G. Garry, Proc. SPIE **5732**, 412 (2005).
- ¹²D. J. Rogers, F. Hosseini-Teherani, A. Ougazzaden, S. Gautier, L. Divay, A. Lusson, O. Durand, F. Wyczisk, G. Garry, T. Monteiro, M. Correira, M. Peres, A. Neves, D. McGrouther, J. Chapman, and M. Razeghi, Appl. Phys. Lett. **91**, 071120 (2007).
- ¹³X. Q. Zhang, I. Suemune, H. Kumano, J. Wang, and S. H. Huang, J. Appl. Phys. 96, 3733 (2004).
- ¹⁴C. Klingshirn, Phys. Stat. Sol. B 71, 547 (1975).
- ¹⁵P. Zu, Z. K. Tang, G. K. L. Wong, M. Kawasaki, A. Ohtomo, H. Koinuma, and Y. Segawa, Solid State Commun. **103**, 459 (1997).
- ¹⁶L. Divay, D. J. Rogers, A. Lusson, S. Kostcheev, S. Mc Murtry, G. Lérondel, and F. Hosseini-Téhérani, Phys. Status Solidi C 5, 3095 (2008).
- ¹⁷C. Klingshirn, R. Hauschild, J. Fallert, and H. Kalt, Phys. Rev. B 75, 115203 (2007).
- ¹⁸M. H. Haung, S. Mao, H. Feik, H. Yan, Y. Wu, H. Kind, E. Weber, R. Russo, and P. Yang, Science **292**, 1897 (2001).
- ¹⁹D. M. Bagnall, Y. F. Chen, Z. Zhu, T. Yao, M. Y. Shen, and T. Goto, Appl. Phys. Lett. **73**, 1038 (1998).
- ²⁰L. A. Coldren and S. W. Corzine, *Diode Lasers and Photonic Integrated Circuits* (Wiley, New York, 1995).
- ²¹J. H. Park and A. J. Steckl, Appl. Phys. Lett. 85, 4588 (2004).
- ²²A. Setiawan, Z. Vashaei, M. W. Cho, T. Yao, H. Kato, M. Sano, K. Miyamoto, I. Yonenaga, and H. J. Ko, J. Appl. Phys. **96**, 3763 (2004).
- ²³G. Tobin, E. McGlynn, M. O. Henry, J.-P. Mosnier, E. Posada, and J. G. Lunney, Appl. Phys. Lett. 88, 071919 (2006).
- ²⁴J. Heikenfeld, M. Garter, D. S. Lee, R. Birkhahn, and A. J. Steckl, Appl. Phys. Lett. **75**, 1189 (1999).